

CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA
INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Tony E. Crapp, Jr.
City Manager

DATE: February 15, 2011 **FILE:**

SUBJECT: Evaluation Committee
Recommendation:
Miscellaneous Civil
Engineering Services

FROM: Juvenal Santana
Chief Civil Engineer, COM Public Works
Chair, Evaluation Committee

REFERENCES: RFQ No. 09-10-012

ENCLOSURES:

Capital Improvements Program (CIP) issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) **No. 09-10-012** for the provision of Miscellaneous Civil Engineering Services. The Proposals were evaluated based on five (5) main criteria:

- | | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1. | Proposer's Experience and Qualifications | (maximum 35 points) |
| 2. | Proposer's Team Experience | (maximum 35 points) |
| 3. | Experience of the Project Manager | (maximum 10 points) |
| 4. | Design Philosophy | (maximum 10 points) |
| 5. | Technical Capabilities | (maximum 10 points) |

CIP received a total of twenty-five (25) Proposals. 24 firms were deemed responsive as part of CIP's due diligence effort. Miller Legg was deemed non-responsive.

An Evaluation Committee (Committee) was appointed to evaluate the responses to the solicitation and establish the ranking of the firms based on its review of the Proposals. The Committee was comprised of the following members:

1. Juvenal Santana, Chief Engineer, Public Works, City of Miami
2. Leonard Helmers, P.E. IV., Public Works, City of Miami
3. Leonard Ona, P.E., Public Works, Miami Dade County
4. Daniel Iglesias, P.E., Florida Department of Transportation
5. Juan Toledo, P.E., Miami Dade MDX

The Committee met on Wednesday, January 19, 2011, to evaluate and rank the firms based on their review of the Proposals.

<u>Overall Ranking</u>		<u>Average Score</u>	<u>Ordinal Score</u>
1.	C3TS	90.4	
2.	Metric Engineering	88.4	
3.	Ty Lin International/HJ Ross	87.8	
4.	The Corradino Group, Inc.	87.6	
5.	ADA Engineering	86.8	
6.	A & P Consulting	86.6	30
7.	R.J. Behar & Company	86.6	34
8.	Civil Works (CW)	85	
9.	Kimley-Horn & Associates	84	54
10.	Bolton, Perez and Associates	84	57
11.	Gannett Fleming	83.6	
12.	Marlin Engineering	83	52
13.	SRS Engineering	83	53
14.	BCC Engineering	82.6	
15.	Lockwood Andrews & Newnam (LAN)	82	
16.	Keith & Schnars	81.6	
17.	Consulting Engineering & Science	81.6	
18.	CES Consultants	81	
19.	Bermillo Ajamil & Partners	79.4	
20.	Milian, Swain & Associates (MSA)	77.25	
21.	Cap Engineering	77.2	
22.	Ambro	76.6	
23.	Wolfberg Alvarez & Partners	76.2	
24.	Zurwelle-Whittaker	66.8	
25.	Miller Legg	Non-Responsive	

The RFQ stated that up to five (5) contracts would be awarded. The five (5) top-ranked firms were:

1. **C3TS**
2. **Metric Engineering**
3. **Ty Lin International/HJ Ross**
4. **The Corradino Group, Inc.**
5. **ADA Engineering**

The Committee also concluded that the top 10 firms were qualified for award consideration should negotiations fail with the five top-ranked firms.

The Committee requests approval for CIP to begin negotiations with the top five firms.

Should negotiations fail with any of the firms identified as number one through five above, the Committee requests approval for CIP to begin negotiations with A& P Consulting, R.J. Behar & Company, Civil Works (CW), Kimley-Horn & Associates, Bolton, Perez and Associates.

In one event, committee member, Daniel Iglesias had a perceived conflict with Milian, Swain & Associates (MSA). As such, the committee decided against Mr. Iglesias rating that particular firm. Therefore, every firm's score was not tabulated according to total points. The committee rated each firm by computing the average score.

In cases where the rankings score were tied, CIP tabulated points using an ordinal scoring method to break the tie. All ordinal scores are listed next to firms who tied using average point tabulations. The firms with the highest average score and lowest ordinal score will be considered first in the event negotiations fail with the top five firms.

Negotiations will cease once five successfully negotiated contracts are identified.

Your signature below will signify your concurrence with the above recommendation and your assimilation of these findings as your own justification based on the requirements of the RFQ and the qualifications of the Proposers.

Approved: 
Tony E. Crapp, Jr., City Manager

C: Alice N. Bravo, P.E., Director, CIP
Albert Sosa, P.E., Assistant Director, CIP

: md